

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/701,051	11/04/2003	Chun-Fai Cheng	SMBZ 2 00980	5563	
27885	7590 09/22/2006		EXAMINER		
FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & MCKEE, LLP			PIZIALI, JEFFREY J		
	IOR AVENUE, SEVEN D, OH 44114	IH FLOOK	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2629		
		DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/701,051	CHENG, CHUN-F	CHENG, CHUN-FAI	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jeff Piziali	2629		
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence ad	Idress	
WHICHEVER IS LONGER - Extensions of time may be availab after SIX (6) MONTHS from the m - If NO period for reply is specified a - Failure to reply within the set or ex	R, FROM THE MAILING D. le under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 aliling date of this communication. blove, the maximum statutory period of tended period for reply will, by statute ter than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MC ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT , cause the application to become ABA g date of this communication, even if tin	ATION. Ily be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this or NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)		
Status					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL 3) ☐ Since this applicatio	n is in condition for allowa	ovember 2003. action is non-final. nce except for formal matte		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
5) Claim(s) is/ar 6) Claim(s) is/ar 7) Claim(s) is/ar 7) Claim(s) is/ar 8) Claim(s) are su Application Papers 9) The specification is of a deposition of the drawing(s) filed of applicant may not required.	im(s) is/are withdrawe allowed. e rejected. e objected to. abject to restriction and/or elected to by the Examine on <u>04 November 2003</u> is/auest that any objection to the	wn from consideration. election requirement. r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing 11) The oath or declarati		ion is required if the drawing(s			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11		armior. Note the attached		O-132.	
12) Acknowledgment is r a) All b) Some * 1. Certified copie 2. Certified copie 3. Copies of the application fro	nade of a claim for foreign c) None of: es of the priority documents of the priority documents certified copies of the prior m the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Apprite to the second in Apprite to the second in Apprite to the second in the sec	olication No eceived in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PT 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 3) Information Disclosure Stateme	Drawing Review (PTO-948) nt(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Info	Mail Date mal Patent Application		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/9/2004</u>		6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species I, drawn to a gray scale reference voltage generator comprising two-time dependent voltage feedback controlled current sources (see Page 8, Line 15 - Page 9, Line 6 of the instant specification, for instance), and

Species II, drawn to a gray scale reference voltage generator comprising two constant (time-independent) current sources (see Page 9, Lines 8-12 of the instant specification, for instance).

The species are independent or distinct because the species do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the species are not obvious variants; and the species each have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, and effect.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-5, 9, 10, and 12 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Art Unit: 2629

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. A telephone call was made to James W. McKee (Registration Number 26,482) on 15

September 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2629

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Piziali whose telephone number is (571) 272-7678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30AM - 3PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (571) 272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeff Piziali

15 September 2006

reali